



Texas Department of Insurance

Division of Workers' Compensation

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1645

512-804-4000 telephone • 512-804-4811 fax • www.tdi.texas.gov

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

DOCTORS HOSPITAL OF LAREDO
3255 W PIONEER PARKWAY
ARLINGTON TX 76013

Respondent Name

Travelers Indemnity Co

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

Box Number 05

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-10-1609-01

MFDR Date Received

October 26, 2009

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "Outpatient Hospital Rule 134.03, HCPS's are payable at 200% of the correct fee schedule allowable as modifier 25 is added to the ER line to identify this as a significant, separately identifiable E/M service by the same physician on the same day making this a payable line and not to be included/bundled with the other services rendered."

Amount in Dispute: \$447.72

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The Carrier denies that CPT code 99284 involved a separate, significant procedure that would qualify for separate reimbursement from CPT code 12041."

Response Submitted by: Travelers Indemnity Co

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
November 10, 2008	Outpatient Hospital Services	\$447.72	\$11.49

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, titled *Hospital Facility Fee Guideline – Outpatient*, sets out the reimbursement guidelines for facility services provided in an outpatient acute care hospital.
3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.4 requires written notification to health care providers regarding contractual agreements for informal and voluntary networks.
4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §102.4 sets out general rules regarding communications.
5. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:

- A2 – CONTRACTUAL ADJUSTMENT. ANY REDUCTION IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH FOCUS/AETNA WORKERS COMP ACCESS LLC.
- 97 – PYMT ADJUSTED BECAUSE THE BENEFIT FOR THIS SVC IS INCLUDED IN THE PYMT/ALLOWANCE FOR ANOTHER SVC/PROCEDURE THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN ADJUDICATED.
- W1 – WORKERS COMPENSATION STATE FEE SCHEDULE ADJUSTMENT.

Issues

1. Did the respondent support the insurance carrier's reasons for reduction or denial of services?
2. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?
3. What is the recommended payment amount for the services in dispute?
4. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement?

Findings

1. The insurance carrier reduced or denied disputed services with reason codes A2 – “CONTRACTUAL ADJUSTMENT. ANY REDUCTION IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH FOCUS/AETNA WORKERS COMP ACCESS LLC.” Review of the submitted information found insufficient documentation to support that the disputed services were subject to a contractual fee arrangement between the parties to this dispute. Nevertheless, on October 6, 2010, the Division requested the respondent to provide a copy of the referenced contract as well as documentation to support notification to the healthcare provider, as required by 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.4, that the healthcare provider had been given access to the contracted fee arrangement. Review of the submitted information finds that the documentation does not support notification to the healthcare provider in the time and manner required.

- The notice does not include the start date and any end date during which the insurance carrier had been given access to the contracted fee arrangement as required by §133.4(d)(2)(B).
- No documentation was found to establish time of notification in accordance with §133.4(f).

The Division concludes that, pursuant to §133.4(g), the insurance carrier is not entitled to pay the health care provider at a contracted fee. Consequently, per §133.4(h), the disputed services will be reviewed for payment in accordance with applicable Division rules and fee guidelines.

2. This dispute relates to facility services performed in an outpatient hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, which requires that the reimbursement calculation used for establishing the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the Federal Register with the application of minimal modifications as set forth in the rule. Per §134.403(f)(1), the sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 200 percent, unless a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement of implantables. Review of the submitted documentation finds that separate reimbursement for implantables is not applicable.
3. Under the Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS), each billed service is assigned an Ambulatory Payment Classification (APC) based on the procedure code used, the supporting documentation and the other services that appear on the bill. A payment rate is established for each APC. Depending on the services provided, hospitals may be paid for more than one APC per encounter. Payment for ancillary and supportive items and services, including services that are billed without procedure codes, is packaged into payment for the primary service. A full list of APCs is published quarterly in the OPPS final rules which are publicly available through the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) website. Reimbursement for the disputed services is calculated as follows:
 - Procedure code 12041 has a status indicator of T, which denotes a significant procedure subject to multiple-procedure discounting. The highest paying status T procedure is paid at 100%; all others are paid at 50%. This procedure is paid at 100%. These services are classified under APC 0134, which, per OPPS Addendum A, has a payment rate of \$134.08. This amount multiplied by 60% yields an unadjusted labor-related amount of \$80.45. This amount multiplied by the annual wage index for this facility of 0.8785 yields an adjusted labor-related amount of \$70.68. The non-labor related portion is 40% of the APC rate or \$53.63. The sum of the labor and non-labor related amounts is \$124.31. The cost of these services does not exceed the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$1,575. The outlier payment amount is \$0. The total Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount for this line is \$124.31. This amount multiplied by 200% yields a MAR of \$248.62.
 - Procedure code 99284 has a status indicator of Q, which denotes conditionally packaged services that may

be separately payable only if OPPS criteria are met. Review of submitted documentation finds criteria not met. Separate payment not recommended.

4. The total allowable reimbursement for the services in dispute is \$248.62. This amount less the amount previously paid by the insurance carrier of \$237.13 leaves an amount due to the requestor of \$11.49. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$11.49.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby **ORDERS** the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$11.49, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

Authorized Signature

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

October 7, 2013
Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party.****

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.